

Fast ion ignition with ultraintense laser pulses

Theodor Schlegel

Helmholtz Institute Jena and GSI Darmstadt

Direct Drive and Fast Ignitor Workshop, Prague, 27 – 30 May 2012



V.T. Tikhonchuk, J-L Feugeas, Ph. Nicolai, Cyril Regan, X. Ribeyre CELIA, U Bordeaux

A. Debayle, J. J. Honrubia, M. Temporal

U Politécnica de Madrid

Motivation

Fast Ignition with energetic ions

- TNSA scheme with thin foils
- Ion acceleration in underdense plasmas, collisionless shocks

HELMHOLTZ

• RPA scheme in the hole-boring mode

Outline

- Analytical estimates
- 1D/ 2D PIC simulation results
- Fast ignition requirements
- Cone-guided carbon acceleration
- Large-scale fusion pellet with *in-situ* DT acceleration

Conclusion

Fast Ignition in Inertial Fusion Energy production

HELMHOLTZ ASSOCIATION

M. Tabak et al., Phys. Plasmas 1994 M. Tabak et al., Fusion Science and Technology 2006

fuel gain in an isobaric configuration:

 $G = \phi Q M / (\eta E_{driver}), \quad \phi = \frac{h}{h + 7 \text{g cm}^{-2}}$ $\eta E_{driver} = E_{hs} + E_{M fuel}$ $E_{hs} [\text{MJ}] = M_{hs} C_v T_{hs} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{h_{hs}^3}{\rho_{hs}^2} C_v T_{hs}$ $E_{M fuel} [\text{MJ}] = 0.3 \alpha (M - M_{hs}) \rho_{M fuel}^{\frac{2}{3}}$

Ignition requirements for FI

S. Atzeni, Phys. Plasmas 1999

 Laser propagation in overcritical plasma: hole boring, relativ. transparency

high laser intensities to propagate over mm plasma length in 10 - 20 ps

Cone-guided electron acceleration

R. Kodama et al., Nature 2002 A. Debayle et al., J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 2010

higher coupling efficiency to e⁻, problems in transport to the compressed fuel influence of the implosion process



Fast Ignition by laser-accelerated proton/light ion beams

M. Roth et al., PRL 2001 V.Yu. Bychenkov et al., Plasma Phys. Rep. 2001 S. Atzeni et al., Nucl. Fusion 2002 M. Temporal et al., Phys. Plasmas 2002 J.F. Fernandes et al., Nucl. Fusion 2009



less deflection by atomic scatters, induc. fields divergence < 10 mrad required spread in energy \rightarrow spread in arrival times

HELMHOLTZ

$$E_{ig} = 90 (d_{mm})^{0.7} \left(\frac{\rho}{100 \text{ g cm}^{-2}}\right)^{-1.3} \text{ kJ}$$
$$T_{m} = 1 - 8 \text{ MeV}$$

NOVA-Petawatt:

 $I_L = 5 \times 10^{20} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$, 60 MeV protons $\eta = 10 - 20\%$, emittence < 0.004 mm mrad

focused beams by shaped targets focusability at high currents ?

 $\varepsilon_p = 10 \text{ MeV}, r_{hs} \sim 15 \,\mu\text{m}, \tau_{dep} \sim 10 \text{ ps}$ $E_{pb} = 50 \text{ kJ} \rightarrow 10^{22} \text{ protons/cm}^2$

TNSA of protons and light ions (foil targets)

HELMHOLTZ



Ion acceleration from an underdense plasma

L. Willingale et al., PRL 2006

influence of: density gradients (length, shape, amplitude), laser pulse shape

20 experiment 1D PIC Maximum proton 15 energy (MeV) 0 5 0 0.01 0.1 10 target thickness (micron) collisionless electrostatic shock 0.15 10¹ 0.2 C) a b) Proton velocity divided by c 10 1014 0.15 Number of protons / MeV 0.1 0.1 10¹² 0.05 0.05 -15 10^{10} 25 30 35 40 45 20 Position in the transverse direction (mic 10 -0.05 -0.05 10⁶

180

10

12

190

200

210

x position (microns)

220

230

240

E. d'Humieres, 2010, 2011

4

6

Proton energy (MeV)

8

P. Antici et al., NJP 2009

electron heating near the target rear surface

two steps of interaction:

- 1. electron heating \rightarrow ion acc.
- 2. sufficient high ion velocities \rightarrow reflection of upstreaming ions

HELMHOLTZ



Ion acceleration from foils at the tip of a cone

HELMHOLTZ

K. Flippo et al., Phys. Plasmas 2008



efficient laser absorption, higher T_h and N_h curved tip surface: cone determines the beam characteristics, divergence control

Alternative fast ion ignition scheme





D.S. Clark and M. Tabak., Nuclear Fusion **47**, 1147 (2007)

A. Debayle et al., J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 244, 022032 (2010)

Quasi-stationary laser piston in overdense plasmas



charge separation layer



momentum flux balance: (in the moving frame MF)

$$\frac{2I_{\text{las}}}{c} \frac{1-\beta_f}{1+\beta_f} = 2\rho c^2 \gamma_f^2 \beta_f^2$$
$$\implies \beta_f = \frac{B}{1+B}, \quad B = \sqrt{I_{\text{las}}/\rho c^3}$$

LF:
$$\mathcal{E}_i = 2m_i c^2 \frac{B^2}{1+2B}, \quad 1-R = \frac{2B}{1+2B}$$

main properties confirmed in PIC simulations

A. Robinson et al., Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 51, 024004 (2009)
N. Naumova et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 025002 (2009)
T. Schlegel et al., Phys. Plasmas 16, 083103 (2009)

Homogeneous plasma

$$\mathcal{E}_{i} = 2m_{i}c^{2}\frac{B^{2}}{1+2B}, \quad N_{i} = \frac{\rho}{m_{i}}l_{acc}, \quad F_{acc} = N_{i}\mathcal{E}_{i}, \quad \tau_{las} = \frac{l_{acc}}{Bc}, \quad A_{acc} = \frac{2B}{1+2B}$$

account for beam divergence:

$$F_{\rm hs} = \eta \frac{\mathcal{N}_i \mathcal{E}_i}{\pi r_{\rm acc}^2 l_{\rm acc}} \int_D^{D+l_{\rm acc}} \frac{d\xi}{\left(1 + \delta\theta \,\xi \,/\,r_{\rm acc}\right)^2} = \eta F_{\rm acc} \left[\left(1 + \delta\theta \frac{D}{r_{\rm acc}}\right) \left(1 + \delta\theta \frac{D+l_{\rm acc}}{r_{\rm acc}}\right) \right]^{-1}$$

Plasma density profile with a scale length L

$$N_{i} = \frac{L}{m_{i}} \left(\rho_{\max} - \rho_{\min} \right), \quad F_{acc} = \frac{4 L I_{las}}{c} \ln \left(\frac{B_{\min}}{B_{\max}} \frac{1 + 2B_{\max}}{1 + 2B_{\min}} \right),$$
$$\left\langle \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_{i} \right\rangle = F_{acc} / N_{i}, \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}_{las} = \frac{2L}{c} \left(\frac{1}{B_{\max}} - \frac{1}{B_{\min}} \right), \quad A_{acc} = \frac{F_{acc}}{I_{las} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{las}}$$

1D PIC simulations

Simulation parameters:

$$I_{\text{las}} = 4 \times 10^{22} \,\text{W/cm}^2$$
 ($a_0 = 100$)
 $\lambda = 0.8 \,\mu\text{m}$



(

efficiency:
$$F_{\varepsilon_i = (50-300) \text{MeV}} = 5.4 \text{ GJ/cm}^2$$

 $A = F_i / F_{\text{las}} = 0.27$



N. Naumova et al., PRL 2009

2D PIC simulations

Simulation parameters:

 $I_{\text{las}} = 4 \times 10^{22} \,\text{W/cm}^2$ ($a_0 = 100$) $\lambda = 0.8 \,\mu\text{m}$

flat-top transverse laserintensity profile: $w = 20 \lambda$

deuterium plasma

clean and stable channel small angular spread of ions $\varepsilon_i \ge 50 \text{MeV}: \quad \theta \le 5^\circ$



N. Naumova et al., PRL 2009

- Circular laser polarization
- Overcritical plasma: $n_e / a_0 n_c \ge 1$
- Large number of accelerated ions, $\mathcal{N}_i \sim 10^{14} \div 10^{16}$, to provide: $F_{\text{hs: ig}} \geq E_{\text{ig}} / \pi r_{\text{ig}}^2$
- Sufficiently small laser focus, $r_{\rm acc} < r_{\rm ig}$, because of ion beam divergence
- Rayleigh length of the laser pulse > acceleration length $l_{\rm acc}$

for mono-energetic ion acceleration

• High laser contrast, flat transverse intensity profile

1. Essential precompressed pellet parameters: ρ_{max} , $(\rho R)_{\text{core}}$, L

2. Ignition scaling laws $\rightarrow E_{ig}, r_{ig}, \tau_{ig}$ S. Atzeni, Physⁱ, Plasmas 6, 3316 (1999)

(3) Supposed density ratio of the acceleration zone, $\rho_{\text{max}} / \rho_{\text{min}}$, and $D \rightarrow l_{\text{acc}}, \rho_{\text{max}}, \rho_{\text{min}}, N_i$ (4) With a chosen laser intensity $I_{\text{las}} \rightarrow F_{\text{acc}}, \langle \mathcal{E}_i \rangle$

5. Laser focal spot radius $r_{
m acc}$ and ion beam divergence $\delta heta$ determine $F_{
m hs}$

$$\eta F_{\rm hs:ig} \pi r_{\rm ig}^2 \propto E_{\rm ig}$$

6. Final estimate of $P_{\rm las}$, $\tau_{\rm las}$, $E_{\rm las}$, $A_{\rm acc}$

Cone-guided target



Distance from the target center z (µm)

$$N_{i} = \frac{\rho}{m_{i}} l_{acc}, \quad F_{acc} = N_{i} \mathcal{E}_{i},$$
$$\tau_{las} = \frac{l_{acc}}{Bc}, \quad A_{acc} = \frac{F_{acc}}{I_{las} \tau_{las}}$$

Approximate DT density profile: $(\rho R)_{core} \approx 3 \text{ g/cm}^2$ **Carbon** layer with $\rho = 0.2 \text{ g/cm}^3$ Scalings for ignition: $E_{ig} = 7 \text{ kJ}, r_{ig} = 12 \mu \text{m}, \tau_{ig} = 14 \text{ ps}$ $\longrightarrow F_{ig} \approx 1.6 \text{ GJ/cm}^2$

Estimate:

$$\mathcal{E}_{i} = 450 \text{ MeV} \longrightarrow I_{\text{las}} = 1.4 \times 10^{22} \text{ W/cm}^{2} (a_{0} \approx 60)$$

$$r_{\text{acc}} = 6 \mu \text{m}, l_{\text{acc}} = 200 \mu \text{m}$$

$$\longrightarrow N_{i} = 2 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}, F_{\text{acc}} \approx 14 \text{ GJ/cm}^{2}$$

$$D = 60 \mu \text{m}, \delta\theta = 0.1: r_{\text{hs}}^{2} \approx 11 r_{\text{acc}}^{2}, F_{\text{hs}} \approx 1.3 \text{ GJ/cm}^{2}$$

$$E_{\text{hs: ig}} = 5 \text{ kJ} (\eta = 0.8) \longrightarrow \text{ no ignition}$$

Higher directionality

$$\delta\theta = 0.05$$
 \longrightarrow $F_{\text{hs: ig}} = 3 \text{ GJ/cm}^2$
 $E_{\text{hs: ig}} = 11 \text{ kJ} \ (\eta = 0.8)$

Profiles after ion stopping: (*Trumpet code*)



successful ignition

 $P_{\text{las}} = 16 \text{ PW}, \ \tau_{\text{las}} = 4 \text{ ps}$ $E_{\text{las}} = 65 \text{ kJ}$ $A_{\text{acc}} \approx 25\%$ $A_{\text{ig}} = \frac{\eta F_{\text{hs}} \pi r_{\text{ig}}^2}{P_{\text{las}} \tau_{\text{las}}} \approx 17\% \ (\eta = 0.8)$

Reduced laser intensity

Scenario with lower laser intensity

 $I_{\text{las}} = 5 \times 10^{21} \text{ W/cm}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_i = 175 \text{ MeV}$ $(a_0 \approx 35)$

Design with same $D, l_{\rm acc}, \delta\theta$ as before:

With
$$r_{acc} \approx 10 \,\mu\text{m}$$
: $F_{acc} = 5.6 \,\text{GJ/cm}^2$
 $F_{hs} = 1.9 \,\text{GJ/cm}^2$
 $E_{hs:ig} = 8.4 \,\text{kJ}$

ignition

 $P_{\text{las}} = 15 \text{ PW}, \ \tau_{\text{las}} = 7 \text{ ps}$ $E_{\text{las}} = 100 \text{ kJ}$ $A_{\text{acc}} \approx 17 \%$





DT target dynamics

2D axially-symmetric CHIC simulation after ion beam energy deposition



Further heating after ion beam energy deposition by α - particles

reaction rate: $v_{DT} \approx 2.6 \times 10^5 \rho T_i^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ equilibration time: $\tau_{\alpha e} \approx \beta \frac{T_e^{3/2}}{\rho} \text{ ps}$ ignition

Fusion power and energy

Large-scale target:

$$(\rho R)_{\text{core}} \approx 2.6 \,\text{g/cm}^2, \,\rho_{\text{max}} \approx 450 \,\text{g/cm}^3$$

Profiles after ion stopping: (Trumpet code)

Ignition scaling laws:

 $E_{\rm ig} = 8.5 \,\rm kJ$, $r_{\rm ig} = 13.5 \,\mu\rm{m}$, $\tau_{\rm ig} = 15 \,\rm ps$

DT acceleration: $\langle \mathcal{E}_i \rangle \approx 10 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ $I_{\rm las} = 4.6 \times 10^{22} \, {\rm W/cm^2}, \ r_{\rm acc} = 7 \, \mu {\rm m}$ $l_{\rm acc} = 40 \,\mu{\rm m}, \ D = 80 \,\mu{\rm m}$ $N_i \approx 6 \times 10^{21} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$, $F_{\mathrm{acc}} \approx 11 \,\mathrm{GJ/cm}^2$

Beam divergence: $\delta\theta = 0.1$ \rightarrow $F_{\rm hs;ig} = 1.9 \,{\rm GJ/cm}^2$ $E_{\rm hs \, ig} = 9 \, \rm kJ \, (\eta = 0.2)$

Ignition requirements: $P_{\text{las}} = 70 \text{ PW}, \tau_{\text{las}} = 2.5 \text{ ps}, E_{\text{las}} = 180 \text{ kJ}, A_{\text{acc}} \approx 9\%$

DT target dynamics

2D axially-symmetric CHIC simulation after ion beam energy deposition

Conclusions

High-energy carbon ions

- high acceleration efficiency lower plasma density
- enhanced localization of ion energy deposition
- Iow ion beam divergence high ion energy
- reduced demand of laser energy for DT ignition
- ion beam divergence?
- cone

In-situ DT acceleration

- simple geometry
- but: lower acceleration efficiency

larger ion beam divergence

hole-boring through undercritical plasma

→ higher laser power and energy