Hydrodynamics Simulation of Interpenetrating Laser Generated Plasmas Plumes Liska R.¹, Renner O.², Šmíd M.^{1,2}, Larroche O.³

¹Czech Technical University in Prague Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering liska@siduri.fjfi.cvut.cz stitute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prac

²Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague ³CEA DIF, Bruyères le Châtel, France

Prague, May 30, 2012

Overview

- plasma wall interaction (PWI) studies by two foil targets at PALS
- single fluid 2D ALE code PALE (Prague ALE) for laser generated plasma simulations
- single fluid model does not allow meeting plumes to interpenetrate
- multifluid hydrodynamical 1.5 D code MULTIF from O. Larroche
- hydrodynamical results postprocessing by radiative postprocessor CRETIN using atomic data from HULLAC (M. Šmíd)
- AI/Mg two foil target with 2 lasers AI/Mg plasma plumes interact between the foils
- AI/C two foil target with oblique laser AI plasma plume heats solid C foil

Two Foil Target

- upper Al and lower Mg foil
- foils thickness $d_u = 0.8\mu m, d_l = 2\mu m$
- foils distance $L = 600 \mu m$
- stronger laser beam with energy 115 J, 3-rd harmonics, pulse length 300 ps, focus $r_f = 40 \ \mu\text{m}$, angular beam divergence 15° , focused on the upper foil



• the second, weaker laser (also on the third harmonics) with energy 6 J, FWHM 300 ps and focal spot radius 25 μ m, is focused from below on the Mg foil

2D Hydrodynamical PALE Code

• hydrodynamical model in Lagrangian coordinates

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\,\vec{x}}{\mathrm{d}\,t} = \vec{v}, \qquad \qquad \rho \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\vec{v}}{\mathrm{d}\,t} = -\nabla p,$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\rho}{\mathrm{d}\,t} = -\nabla \cdot \vec{v}, \qquad \qquad \rho \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\varepsilon}{\mathrm{d}\,t} = -p\,\nabla \cdot \vec{v} + \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla T) - \nabla \cdot \vec{I},$$

- Equation of state: Ideal gas or Quotidian EOS (QEOS)
- Laser absorption on the critical surface or by ray tracing.
- Arbitrary Lagrangian Eulerian (ALE) method: 1. Lagrangian computation, 2. mesh smooting, 3. remapping
- ALE avoids mesh degeneration and tangling

Single Fluid Results by PALE

- single fluid model has the same velocity for both materials and does not allow them to interpenetrate
- impacting plasma plumes; ions decelarated immediatelly; artificially high pressure and temperature region at material interface
- sample results for another two foil target



1.5 D Multi-fluid Hydrodynamical Code MULTIF

- two (or more) ion specii are allowed to interpenetrate, each fluid has its own set of hydrodynamical quantities (density n_i , velocity u_i , thermal energy k_BT_i for ions of type *i*)
- charge quasi-neutrality is ensured by a background electron fluid with density $n_e = \sum_i n_i Z_i$ and its own temperature T_e
- mass, momentum and energy conservation equations for each fluid
- collisional drag (due to Coulomb collisions) as source terms in momentum and energy equations exchanges momentum and energy between fluids
- the efficiency of Coulomb collisions greatly vary, and so does the collisional drag which tends to decrease the relative velocities
- self-similar transverse expansion "1.5D"

Coupling the Codes

- initial development of AI and Mg plasma plumes simulated by 2D PALE code
- from 2D maps 1D profiles are extracted and combined into a two fluid description of colliding plasmas
- these 1D profiles are lineouts along either the symmetry axis of the experiment (line "1"), or straight lines at constant radius (e. g., line "2")
- pseudo-2D results obtained by several 1D MULTIF runs



PALE 2D Results

 separate PALE runs for each foil till time +20 ps when plumes overlap by only very low density regions



1D Cuts of PALE 2D Results

• 1D cuts of n_e, T, v_z, v_r at time t = +20 ps at fixed radii $r = 0, 30, 60, 80, 100, 120, 140, 160, 180, 200 \mu$ m serve as initial conditions for MULTIF runs



MULTIF Results of Interpenetration on the *z* **Axis**



MULTIF Results

 profiles of AI (solid lines) and Mg (dashed lines) ions velocities and temperatures



PALE - MULTIF - CRETIN - HULLAC Chain



 radiative postprocessor CRETIN computes emmisivities and syntetic spectra from results of hydrodynamical computation

CRETIN Results

190 ps 310 ps 250 ps v_z^{Al} [cm/s] v_z^{Al} [cm/s] v_z^{Al} [cm/s] x 10⁸ J–sat emission [a.u.] 1.5 900 x 10⁸ J–sat emission [a.u.] 1.5 900 x 10⁸ J–sat emission [a.u.] 1.5 900 900 0.01 900 0.01 900 0.01 0.009 0.009 0.009 800 800 800 800 800 800 1 1 0.008 0.008 0.008 700 700 700 700 700 70 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.5 0.5 0.5 600 600 600 600 600 600 0.006 0.006 0.006 [unn] 500 z - 0 <u>1</u> 500 0]z 500 500 0.005 500 0.005 500 0.005 0.004 0.004 0.004 400 400 400 400 400 400 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 0.003 0.003 0.003 300 300 300 300 300 300 0.002 0.002 0.002 -1 -1 -1 200 200 200 200 200 200 0.001 0.001 0.001 -1.5100 100 **b** 100 100 -1.5100 0 -1.5 100 200 100 200 100 200 Ő 100 200 100 100 200 ٥ 100 200 r [µm] r [µm] r [µm] r [µm] r [µm] r [µm]

• axial AI ions velocity and J-satelite emisivity at selected times

Comparing with Experimental Results

- spatially resolved emission spectra of the Al Ly α spectral group
- experimentally observed effective AI ion velocities derived from Doppler shifts of the J-satelite
- velocity profiles weighted by emisivity obtained from CRETIN



Oblique Incidence on Double Foil Target

- upper AI and lower C foil
- foils thickness $d_u = 0.8 \mu m, d_l = 250 \mu m$; foils distance $L = 600 \mu m$
- Gaussian laser beam with energy 57 J, 3-rd harmonics, pulse length 250 ps, focal spot radius $r_f = 150 \,\mu\text{m}$
- after burning through the AI foil laser does not hit the C foil



- Al plasma plume propagates in direction orthogonal to foils
- oblique and orthogonal incidence produces very similar results
- simulation preformed in cylindrical geometry with symmetry axis being orthogonal to foils; beam is orthogonal to the foils
- plasma plume interaction with solid C foil; plasma wall interaction (PWI)

PALE Simulation with Upper Foil

- 2D PALE results with upper Al foil at time t = +340 ps after laser maximum, when plasma plume hits the lower C foil
- 1D cuts on the z axis serve as initial conditions for MULTIF code



MULTIF Results of Wall Heating

• Al plasma plume heats C wall, layer of Al/C mixture develops



Conclusion

- single fluid hydrodynamical models do not allow plasmas interpenetration
- 2D single fluid ALE code PALE
- multifluid hydrodynamical 1.5 D code MULTIF
- radiative postprocessor CRETIN using atomic data from HULLAC
- plasma wall interaction studies
 - interaction of AI/Mg plasma plumes
 - interactin of AI plasma plume with solid C foil

Thank you for your attention